


Development and evaluation of a physico-biochemical model for Escherichia coli in bathing waters

Hao Wang , Anouk Blauw , Jos van Gils , Eline Boelee ,  
Émile Sylvestre , Gertjan Medema

PII: S0043-1354(25)02102-5  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2025.125199>  
Reference: WR 125199



To appear in: *Water Research*

Received date: 21 August 2025  
Revised date: 12 December 2025  
Accepted date: 15 December 2025

Please cite this article as: Hao Wang , Anouk Blauw , Jos van Gils , Eline Boelee , Émile Sylvestre , Gertjan Medema , Development and evaluation of a physico-biochemical model for Escherichia coli in bathing waters, *Water Research* (2025), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2025.125199>

This is a PDF of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form. As such, this version is no longer the Accepted Manuscript, but it is not yet the definitive Version of Record; we are providing this early version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that Elsevier's sharing policy for the Published Journal Article applies to this version, see: <https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies-and-standards/sharing#4-published-journal-article>. Please also note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Highlights**

- We developed a new generic physico-biochemical model for fecal bacteria.
- We validated and evaluated the model for *E. coli* in estuarine and coastal waters.
- Including UVA and UVB photo-inactivation improves *E. coli* die-off predictions.
- Photo-inactivation is significant in clean waters but less significant with high CDOM.
- Sediment composition should be considered when predicting peaks in turbid waters.

# Development and evaluation of a physico-biochemical model for *Escherichia coli* in bathing waters

Hao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Anouk Blauw<sup>1</sup>, Jos van Gils<sup>1</sup>, Eline Boelee<sup>1</sup>, Émile Sylvestre<sup>2,3</sup>, Gertjan

Medema<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Deltares, 2600 MH Delft, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Water Management Department, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, Delft 2628 CN, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> KWR Water Research Institute, Groningenhaven 7, Nieuwegein 3433 PE, The Netherlands

## Abstract

The risk of infection by enteric pathogens in bathing waters is generally monitored by using fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). Mechanistic models are efficient tools to predict FIB concentrations in bathing waters, both in near-future forecasting and in long-term climate change projections. However, most existing mechanistic FIB models are limited by the availability of observations for validation and incorporation of all relevant physical, biological, and chemical (physico-biochemical) processes. Therefore, the quantitative influence of different physio-biochemical processes and impact factors is missing. To enhance the understanding of FIB fate in different aquatic systems, we developed a comprehensive yet generically applicable physico-biochemical model, focused on *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). It includes a die-off module and a sediment interaction module. Separate validation of the two sub-modules demonstrated the reliability of our modeling approach. The die-off module shows a higher  $R^2$  value (0.88) and lower RMSE value ( $1.1 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) than the existing models (0.48–0.79, and 1.8–7.2  $\text{day}^{-1}$ ). This demonstrated an improvement by adding Ultraviolet-A and Ultraviolet-B (UVB) inactivation and UV spectrum extinction due to colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) absorption. According to our sediment module validation, considering the impact of sediment composition on *E. coli* attachment can improve the allocation of *E. coli* between waters and sediments. Sensitivity analysis showed that 1) photo-inactivation is important in low CDOM waters, but not in high CDOM waters, where the UV penetration is limited; 2) the impact of sediment interaction can extend the duration of a peak event in high turbid waters. This work demonstrated the dominant impact factors in different aquatic systems for *E. coli* prediction. The new generic model enables better simulation of bathing water quality across different types of aquatic environments, which can be a useful tool to inform management at bathing sites. Future applications can choose processes selectively from the new FIB physico-biochemical model and couple it with appropriate hydrological/hydrodynamic models to address specific environmental conditions and research purposes.

**Keywords:** Fecal bacteria; Water quality modelling, Photo-inactivation, Sediment interaction

## 1. Introduction

Waterborne pathogens at bathing sites, originating from wastewater treatment plant effluents, agricultural runoff, combined sewer overflows, and sewer leakages or septage, pose a significant public health risk. The EU Bathing Water Directive (EU, 2006) regulates recreational bathing water quality based on biweekly fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) monitoring, such as *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus*. However, this frequency may not be sufficient for capturing peak events due to rapid changes in FIB concentrations (Jozić et al., 2024). High-frequency monitoring is costly, and sample transport and the standard enumeration method introduce time lags that limit early warning (Enns et al., 2012). FIB modeling helps address these gaps and enables near-future forecasting and the impacts of climate change on bathing water quality (King et al., 2021; Sterk et al., 2013).

Current FIB prediction models mainly target rural catchments and sub-catchments and typically operate at a daily timestep (De Brauwere et al., 2014a; Kim et al., 2017; Ouattara et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2024; Worku Meshesha et al., 2020). They generally comprise three components: 1) an emission module estimating FIB sources to aquatic systems; 2) a physico-biochemical module describing in-system physico-biochemical processes of FIB; and 3) a hydrology/hydrodynamics module simulating horizontal and vertical transport. The emission and hydrological module components are typically site-specific, but the physico-biochemical processes tend to be consistent across aquatic environments (Cho et al., 2016; de Brauwere et al., 2014b). To study FIB fate and transport across various water types—from land to sea in both urban and rural settings—a universally applicable physico-biochemical FIB module is needed (Hipsey et al., 2008). However, recent review studies (Cho et al., 2016; Nelson et al., 2018) suggested that the current models lack sufficient details in photo-inactivation and sediment interaction, which are the key processes alongside Natural die-off (die-off in darkness) (de Brauwere, 2014).

Photo-inactivation can have a strong impact on the FIB die-off. UV disinfection is widely used in waste stabilization ponds and constructed wetlands (Silverman and Guest, 2022; Zhang et al., 2019). Sunlight inactivates FIB via two mechanisms: 1) endogenous damage, triggered by absorbing photons in the UVB and UVA (280 – 400 nm) range, and 2) exogenous damage, which needs external photosensitizers (e.g., natural organic matter) as media (Nelson et al., 2018). Given that shorter wavelengths have stronger effects on inactivation (Nelson et al., 2018), Photo-inactivation rates should reflect cumulative effects across the spectrum. However, the current FIB physico-biochemical models tend to simplify this mechanism (Cho et al., 2016), by ignoring the photo-inactivation impact (De Brauwere et al., 2014a; Kim et al., 2017; Ouattara et al., 2013; Thorndahl et al., 2024; Worku Meshesha et al., 2020) or by estimating it from a total radiation coefficient while also neglecting light extinction caused by colored dissolved organic carbon (CDOM) (Shi et al., 2024; Tong et al., 2024).

UV extinction is highly sensitive to water turbidity (Wang and Seyed-Yagoobi, 1994). CDOM is the dominant absorber for UV radiation in the ocean and inland waters (Kuhn et al., 1999; Laurion et al., 2000). CDOM are often adequate in FIB sources, like wastewater effluents and overflows (Gonsior et al., 2011; Kalev et al., 2021), and transport with FIB to bathing waters. Resuspension sediments from bather activities also reduce UV penetration (Graczyk et al., 2010). Therefore,

CDOM and suspended sediment should be considered when calculating *E. coli* photo-inactivation. Given the clear positive relation between dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and CDOM concentrations in global aquatic environments (Fichot and Benner, 2011; Li et al., 2018), DOC concentration, which is easier to measure and commonly simulated in water quality models, can be used to estimate UV attenuation (Morris et al., 1995). To incorporate FIB photo-inactivation by the UVA+UVB spectrum into the new model, four steps need to be taken (Nelson et al., 2018): (1) characterizing the spectrum upon water surfaces; (2) estimating the spectrum extinction in waters; (3) predicting endogenous inactivation, and (4) exogenous inactivation. Since *E. coli* is not noticeably susceptible to exogenous inactivation (Nguyen et al., 2015), the fourth step is omitted in this study.

Sediment serves as a reservoir for FIB in both fresh and marine waters (Fluke et al., 2019; Labite et al., 2010; Pachepsky and Shelton, 2011). To capture this, some FIB physico-biochemical models incorporate the sediment interaction, including attachment-detachment, sedimentation, resuspension, and hyporheic exchange (Kim et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2024; Thupaki et al., 2013). In most previous modeling studies, the attachment between FIB and suspended sediment is irreversible and determined by a constant partitioning rate, ignoring the positive relation between suspended sediment concentration and attached FIB (Garcia - Armisen and Servais (2009)). More recent approaches adopt a dynamic partitioning rate, based on suspended sediment concentrations and a constant partitioning coefficient ( $K_{ss}$ ), representing the absorption capacity of suspended sediment per unit to FIB (Bai and Lung, 2005; Gao et al., 2011; Thupaki et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the partitioning coefficients were valued arbitrarily in a range of  $0.01 - 10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . Kim et al. (2010, 2017) pointed out that the partitioning coefficient, as an intrinsic character determined by suspended sediment composition, is a function of the clay proportion in total suspended sediments (TSS). Incorporating this function in the model requires detailed TSS dynamics. Fortunately, given the progress of TSS simulation in current water quality models, this can be tackled by coupling the FIB physico-biochemical module with an existing TSS module.

This study aims to develop a generic mechanistic FIB model incorporating the latest understanding of *E. coli* physico-biochemical processes. While the model is developed and validated based on *E. coli* data, it can be adapted to other fecal bacteria and viruses by reparameterization. Compared to the existing models, the new model 1) considers the photo-inactivation due to the UVA+UVB spectrum, in which extinction caused by CDOM and TSS is included; 2) introduces a dynamic  $K_{ss}$  based on clay and non-clay sediment fractions. By comparing with observations from previous studies, the new functions have been validated individually via a box model. The sensitivity of the impact factors in different types of aquatic ecosystems was evaluated via sensitivity analysis. By coupling with a specific emission module and hydrology/hydrodynamics module, this new FIB model can be widely applied for FIB predictions in various water systems.

## 2. Materials and Methods

In this study, we first developed a new generic module representing key processes affecting *E. coli* in surface waters (section 2.1). The module was developed within Delwaq: the water quality model within the Delft3D-WAQ modelling framework (Deltares, 2020), which is based on the advection-diffusion-reaction equation. We then applied a box model for model validation with literature data and sensitivity analysis. The box model functions as a single grid cell of 3D hydrodynamic models (Figure 1b). It can reproduce site-specific conditions for different environments by choosing

different input data: either from literature or from existing 3D model applications. By comparing with observations time, the box model enables efficient test, calibration, validation and stochastic analysis under varying hydrodynamic scenarios.

Temperature and salinity are commonly and validation data from literature (Maraccini et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2015), we compared the new module with two existing model descriptions in Delwaq and in SWAT (section 2.2). We also assessed the sensitivity of *E. coli* die-off rates using boundary conditions from two 3D models representing different aquatic systems (section 2.3). **Table 1** summarizes the simulation time, activated modules and impact factors for model comparison and the sensitivity analysis scenarios. The impact factors we considered in the study include temperature, salinity, radiation, DOC concentration, and sediment concentration and composition.

## 2.1 FIB physico-biochemical model development

As shown in **Figure 1a**, *E. coli* die through photo-inactivation and natural die-off. To represent the sediment interaction, we defined three bacterial states: 1) unattached *E. coli* in the water column; 2) attached *E. coli*; 3) sedimented *E. coli*, either in pore water or in deposited sediment. These *E. coli* states can transfer via attachment-detachment, deposition, resuspension, and hyporheic exchange.




Figure 1, Schematization of the FIB model (a) and the box model scheme with required boundary inputs (b). Photo-inactivation refers to damage via UV radiation; natural die-off includes various loss processes (e.g., predation, nutrient limitation). CDOM represents colored dissolved organic carbon.

*E. coli* die-off is modeled by a total die-off rate ( $k_{tot}$ ,  $h^{-1}$ ) that combines natural die-off in darkness ( $k_{dark}$ ,  $h^{-1}$ ) and endogenous photo-inactivation ( $k_{photo}$ ,  $h^{-1}$ ).  $k_{dark}$  is determined by temperature and salinity (Chan et al., 2015). Details are provided in the supplementary information (SI 1.1).

$$k_{tot} = k_{dark} + k_{photo} \quad (1)$$

The total die-off applies to unattached bacteria. For attached and sedimented bacteria, photo-inactivation was excluded, and temperature and salinity effects were reduced by 50% and 90%, respectively, due to sediment protection (Garzio-Hadzick et al., 2010). Key equations, parameters and sources are shown in 2.1.1-2.1.3 and Table S1, with model inputs and outputs listed in Table S2.

### 2.1.1 Endogenous photo-inactivation

To estimate photo-inactivation, we first derived the UVA + UVB spectrum from the total global solar radiation (TGSR). We used a constant UVA + UVB fraction ( $f_{UVA+UVB}$ ) and standard UV spectra ( $E_{st_{1.05}}(\lambda)$  and  $E_{st_{1.5}}(\lambda)$ ) (Kirk, 1981; (Annually, 1995), **Figure S1**) to redistribute the intensities across 300 – 400 nm.  $T_{air\_mass}$  is a temperature sensitivity coefficient for air mass given by (<https://www.knmi.nl/over-het-knmi/nieuws/lichte-lucht-zware-lucht>, **Figure S2**, **Table S1**).

$$E_0(0, \lambda) = TGSR \times f_{UVA+UVB} \times f(\lambda) \quad (2)$$

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{(E_{st_{1.05}}(\lambda) + E_{st_{1.5}}(\lambda)) \times T_{air\_mass}}{\sum_{\lambda=300}^{400} [(E_{st_{1.05}}(\lambda) + E_{st_{1.5}}(\lambda)) \times T_{air\_mass}]} \quad (3)$$

The second step was estimating UV penetration with depth ( $z$ , m), since CDOM is the main UV absorber in natural waters (Bricaud et al., 1981; Zhang et al., 2020). The total diffuse attenuation coefficient ( $K_{tot}(\lambda)$ ,  $m^{-1}$ ) was calculated as the sum of CDOM attenuation coefficient ( $K_{CDOM}(\lambda)$ ,  $m^{-1}$ ), backscattering coefficient of inorganic suspended sediment ( $b_{scatter}$ ,  $m^{-1}$ ), and inherent attenuation coefficient of pure water ( $K_{pure}(\lambda)$ ,  $m^{-1}$ ).

$$E_0(z, \lambda) = E_0(0, \lambda) \times e^{K_{tot}(\lambda) \times z} \quad (4)$$

$$K_{tot}(\lambda) = K_{CDOM}(\lambda) + K_{pure}(\lambda) + b_{scatter} \quad (5)$$

$$b_{scatter} = (b_{clay}^* \times C_{clay} + b_{sand}^* \times C_{sand}) \times P_{back} \quad (6)$$

$E_0(0, \lambda)$  is the UV intensity at the water surface ( $W\ m^{-2}$ ),  $K_{pure}(\lambda)$  is calculated based on the studies of Morel et al. (2007); Smith and Baker (1981).  $b_{clay}^*$  and  $b_{sand}^*$  are the scattering coefficients of clay and sand ( $m^2\ g^{-1}$ ), respectively (Stramski et al., 2007);  $P_{back}$  (-) is the backscattering probability (Bi et al., 2023),  $K_{CDOM}(\lambda)$  is modeled as an exponential decay function (Jerlov, 2014; Shifrin, 1998):

$$K_{CDOM}(\lambda) = A \times e^{-s_e \times \lambda} \quad (7)$$

Where,  $s_e$  ( $\text{nm}^{-1}$ ) is a spectral slope parameter representing CDOM composition, with a recommended value of 0.015 (Bricaud et al., 1981; Jerlov, 2014; Shifrin, 1998; Twardowski et al., 2004).  $A$ , as an amplitude, reflects CDOM concentration. With the DOC concentration ( $C_{DOC}$ ) and CDOM extinction coefficient ( $K_{CDOM}(\lambda)$ ) in natural waters (rivers, lakes, coastal seas) from previous studies (Huovinen et al., 2003; Laurion et al., 2000; Morris et al., 1995; Scully and Lean, 1994), we developed a DOC–amplitude ( $A$ ) relation (See 3.1). To make sure the relation is fitting for the UVA + UVB spectrum, only samples with more than three  $K_{CDOM}$  values across the spectrum were included (**SI**).

The endogenous UV-induced reaction was calculated following Silverman and Nelson (2016). Details are in **SI 1.1**.

### 2.1.2 Attachment and detachment with suspended sediment

Bacterial attachment can be explicitly described by the extended Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory (van Loosdrecht et al., 1989). To simplify calculations and use commonly available input data, we adopted the “fast” attachment-detachment kinetics (Thupaki et al., 2013). These assume that attachment-detachment processes are faster than other processes, and masses of unattached and suspended-sediment attached *E. coli* are in equilibrium all the time (Bai and Lung, 2005; De Brauwere et al., 2014a; Gao et al., 2011).

$$fdf = \frac{C_{b,attach}}{C_{b,free} + C_{b,attach}} \quad (8)$$

$$fdf = \frac{C_{SS} \times K_{SS}}{1 + C_{SS} \times K_{SS}} \quad (9)$$

$$C_{SS} = C_{clay} + C_{non-clay} \quad (10)$$

Where,  $C_{b,free}$  and  $C_{b,attach}$  are the concentrations of unattached *E. coli* and suspended-sediment attached *E. coli* ( $\text{CFU m}^{-3}$ ), respectively.  $fdf$  represents the partitioning rate (-),  $C_{SS}$  is TSS concentration ( $\text{g m}^{-3}$ ).  $K_{SS}$  is the partitioning coefficient. Unlike a constant partitioning coefficient ( $K_{SS}$ , -), we defined it as a function of the percentage of clay ( $P_{clay}$ , %) in TSS (Kim et al., 2017).

$$K_{SS} = 10^{-1.6} \times (P_{clay})^{1.98} \quad (11)$$

$$P_{clay} = \frac{C_{clay}}{(C_{clay} + C_{non-clay})} \times 100\% \quad (12)$$

We distinguished clay ( $C_{clay}$ ,  $\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) and non-clay sediment ( $C_{non-clay}$ ,  $\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) by the settling velocities (**Table S1**). The clay sediment represents fine sediment with higher *E. coli* attachment capacity than the coarser non-clay sediment.



### 2.1.3 Interaction with sediment

Kim et al. (2017) indicated that sedimentation, resuspension, and hyporheic exchange are essential processes of the interactions between FIB and sediment. We assumed the unattached bacteria have no settling velocity, while attached bacteria settle based on a weighted average settling velocity of two suspended sediments (**SI 1.2**). Sedimentation and resuspension depend on shear stress. Hyporheic exchange is determined by sediment density, volumetric seepage velocity, and bacteria concentration in pore waters. Equations are detailed in the SI (**SI 1.2-1.4**). Sedimentation, resuspension and vertical transport of sediment were calculated by the Delwaq model (Deltares, 2020) and coupled with the sediment–bacteria interaction module.

### 2.2 Die-off module validation and comparison

**Table 1** lists the die-off module comparison scenarios (M0-M2). M0 is the new die-off module. M1 only considers the impact of temperature (SWAT model, (Kondo et al., 2021)); M2 considers the impacts of temperature, salinity, and photo-inactivation rates, estimated from visible light (Delwaq model, (Chan et al., 2015; Tong et al., 2024)).

Our module requires DOC concentration as an input, but only few available datasets include DOC observations. This restricts the number of datasets for validation of our new module. We used a dataset (Table S3) from two field experiments in California: at Pillar Point Harbor, San Joaquin Marsh and Arroyo Burro Lagoon, representing marine, freshwater and brackish water, respectively (Maraccini et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2015). To construct a similar condition at these three locations, the box model used measured *E. coli*, DOC concentration, salinity, and water depth from these two field experiments as initial conditions, and records of air temperature and radiation from the National Weather Service (<https://www.weather.gov/>) as inputs. Given that the field experiments were in situ incubations, horizontal input was not considered here.

Table 1. Different scenarios in model comparison and sensitivity analysis

	Name	Simulation time	Active module	Active factors	Model source
Model comparison	M0	10 days	Die-off	T, S, I, DOC	This study
	M1	10 days	Die-off	T	SWAT
	M2	10 days	Die-off	T, S, I	Delwaq
Sensitivity analysis	S1	1 year	Die-off	T, S	This study
	S2	1 year	Die-off	T, S, I	This study
	S3	1 year	Die-off	T, S, I, DOC	This study
	S4	1 year	Die-off + sediment interaction	T, S, I, DOC, $K_{SS\_C}^*$	This study
	S5	1 year	Die-off + sediment interaction	T, S, I, DOC, $K_{SS\_D}^*$	This study
	E1	250 hours	Die-off	T, S	This study
	E2	250 hours	Die-off	T, S, I	This study
	E3	250 hours	Die-off	T, S, I, DOC	This study
	E4	250 hours	Die-off + sediment interaction	T, S, I, DOC, $K_{SS\_D}^*$	This study

\*Note:  $K_{SS\_C}$  and  $K_{SS\_D}$  represent constant and dynamic partitioning rates, respectively. The  $K_{SS\_C}$  value is  $0.01 \text{ m}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (Bai and Lung, 2005; Gao et al., 2011; Thupaki et al., 2013). T, S, and I are temperature, salinity and radiation, respectively.

### 2.3 Sensitivity analysis

Temperature and salinity are commonly used in *E. coli* predictions. The new model added UV-induced inactivation, UV extinction and sediment interaction. To explore these new functions' effects (**Table 1**), we performed a sensitivity analysis by incrementally adding new impact factors to the base scenario (S1 and E1). Five long-term scenarios (S1 – S5) represented stable low-flow conditions: S1) die-off module only controlled by T (temperature) and S (salinity); S2) die-off module controlled by T, S, and I (radiation), without the extinction; S3) the complete die-off module, including extinction; S4) the complete die-off module plus sediment interaction module with a constant partitioning coefficient ( $K_{ss\_c}$ ); S5) the complete die-off module plus the complete sediment interaction module.

In addition, four short-term scenarios (E1 – E4, Table 1) for peak *E. coli* contamination events were performed. By increasing the input of *E. coli* concentration from 0 to  $2.4 \times 10^6$  CFU 100ml<sup>-1</sup> and lasting for three hours between hours 25<sup>th</sup> - 28<sup>th</sup>, an accidental *E. coli* injection due to a combined sewer overflow was reproduced. Then we checked the event duration (above 250 CFU 100ml<sup>-1</sup>, based on the EU Bathing Water directive). The peak *E. coli* concentration was a weighted average of literature values (Erichsen et al., 2006; Thorndahl et al., 2024) and the injection duration was based on the dilution efficiency of the box model. For the impact factors, E1-3 were the same as S1-3, while E4 considered sediment interaction (S5). S4 was omitted because the constant partitioning coefficient tends to overestimate *E. coli* flux from sediment resuspension orders of magnitude in natural waters (Section 3.3), which is not comparable to other scenarios.

The long- and short-term sensitivity analyses were performed using the box models with boundary inputs from two sites in the Netherlands, representing coastal (Katwijk) and estuarine (Scheldt) conditions (**Table 2**). Locations of the two sites are shown in **Figure 2a-c**. The time series' horizontal boundary inputs covering one year with daily time resolution (see **Table 2** and **Figure S3**) were derived from the output of two 3D models: the DCSM-FM North Sea model (Zijl et al., 2013) for the Katwijk site in 2006 and the Scheldt estuary model for the Scheldt site in 2014 (Stolte and Schueder, 2019) (**Figure S3**). The bathing water areas are normally shallower than two meters, however, the output of the nearshore shallow area (<2m) in the two 3D models is not representative because of the spatial resolution. Therefore, we chose two meters (yearly average) to make sure the water level dynamics derived from the two sites are representative. The vertical boundary inputs, including air temperature and TGSr, were from KNMI (Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch instituut, <https://dataplatform.knmi.nl/>).




Figure 2, Location in the Netherlands of the sites (red triangles) where model forcing conditions for the box models have been derived (b) for Katwijk and (c) Scheldt.

The boundary inflow rate of the box model is determined based on an approximately 2.5-day residence time. The computational timestep is one hour, and the simulation times for each scenario are in Table 1. The first 5 simulation days serve as a spin-up.

Table 2. General setup of the box models (time series at the boundary were taken from the North Sea model and the Scheldt model)

	Parameter	Unit	Katwijk	Scheldt
General setup	Initial <i>E. coli</i>	CFU	400	
		100ml <sup>-1</sup>		
	Area	m <sup>2</sup>	10000	
	$C_{DOC}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>		
	$C_{clay}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>		
Constant boundary	$C_{sand}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>	0.0	
	Flow	m <sup>3</sup> day <sup>-1</sup>		
	<i>E. coli</i>	CFU	400 (S1-S5)	
		100ml <sup>-1</sup>		
			0 or 2.4×10 <sup>6</sup> (M1 – M4)	
Timeseries boundary	Temperature	°C	5.5 – 18.8	0.0 – 25.1
	Salinity	Ppt	26.1 – 31.1	2.0 – 10.2
	TGSR	W m <sup>-2</sup>	4.2 – 318	5 – 322
	$C_{DOC}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>	1.0 – 3.0	3.6 – 5.5

$C_{clay}$	$g\ m^{-3}$	0.1 – 7.8	4.2 – 14.0
$C_{sand}$	$g\ m^{-3}$	0.0 – 16.8	10.1 – 68.0
Tau	Pa	0.0 – 0.8	0.0 – 0.7
$z$	M	0.8 – 3.5	1.95 – 2.1

## 2.4 Sediment interaction module validation

The validation of the sediment interaction module was also based on the two box models in section 2.3. Observations of *E. coli* in waters and sediments by the enumeration method were collected from previous studies (Devane et al., 2020; Fluke et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2010; Labite et al., 2010; Pachepsky and Shelton, 2011). Since our model aims to be applied to natural surface waters, only observational locations in natural waters, such as rivers, lakes, and coastal seas, were included. S5 was run with a gradient of *E. coli* boundary concentrations ( $4 \times 10^2$ ,  $4 \times 10^3$ ,  $4 \times 10^4$ , and  $4 \times 10^5$  CFU  $100\text{ml}^{-1}$ ), and the simulated *E. coli* distributions between waters and sediments were compared with observations.

We also compared  $K_{SS\_D}$  (S5) and  $K_{SS\_C}$  (S4) with a value of  $0.01\ m^3\ g^{-1}$ , which is widely used in previous modeling work (Bai and Lung, 2005; Gao et al., 2011; Thupaki et al., 2013). Hence, we had 16 simulations ( $2\ \text{areas} \times 4\ \text{input concentrations} \times 2\ \text{types of } K_{SS}$ ) in total for the sediment interaction module validation. Hereafter, each simulation is labeled as “scenario name + area”, e.g., “S5\_Katwijk”.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Photo-inactivation module

Since DOC data is more accessible than CDOM from observations and simulation in water quality models, using DOC concentration to calculate  $K_{CDOM}$  (attenuation coefficient of UV by CDOM, in eq. 7) improves input accessibility. Therefore, we established a relation ( $A = 179.6 \times C_{DOC}^{1.19}$ ) between the amplitude  $A$  (in eq. 7), which is a proxy of CDOM abundance in waters, and DOC concentrations from literature (**Figure 3**). This empirical relation enables  $K_{CDOM}$  calculation from DOC concentrations.




Figure 3: Relation between DOC concentration and amplitude (insert shows detail for  $C_{DOC} < 2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ), based on published observation data

In most locations, our new die-off module (M0) captured the observed decline of *E. coli* concentrations in the field experiments by Maraccini et al. (2016) (Figure 4). However, the predictions of Arroyo Burro – Winter I and San Joaquin Marsh – Winter I were relatively poor compared to others. As the UV spectrum prediction in eq. 2 matched observations well (**Figure S5**), two possible factors may explain how the UV extinction calculation leads to these deviations.




Figure 4, Validation of the die-off module (M0) in three sites (a-c in winter; d-g in summer. Observations from Maraccini et al., 2016).

Due to the insufficient input data for a multi-layer water column model, our modelling simulations have no vertical variation, meaning the model results represent homogenous shallow water. However, the error bars of the observed die-off rate, representing the ranges of *E. coli* concentration at different water layers between 2 cm and 99 cm, indicate heterogeneous vertical distributions. Contrarily, the samples from Arroyo Burro – Winter I and San Joaquin Marsh – Winter are only from a single layer at 15 cm (Maraccini et al., 2016). Therefore, the first possibility is that the observations in these two sites with a single-layer sampling are not representative of the average concentration in the whole column.

Since both poor performance results happened in winter, another explanation could be the influence of the seasonal variation of terrestrial input. Pillar Point Harbor, Arroyo Burro, and San Joaquin Marsh represent marine, brackish, and freshwater sites, respectively. Compared to Pillar Point and Arroyo Burro, San Joaquin Marsh is more vulnerable to land-based impacts (Maraccini et al., 2016). Terrestrial input, from catchments and wastewater treatment plants, can strongly impact the composition of CDOM in receiving waters (Bogard et al., 2019; Wilkinson et al., 2013). However, the variation of UV absorption capacity, triggered by the changing CDOM composition (Twardowski et al., 2004), was ignored in our model. We have  $s_e$  as a parameter to adjust the

impact from CDOM compositions in the M0 module, but set as a constant value so far.  $s_e$  could be parameterized by users for specific regions in future applications.

### 3.2 Die-off model comparison

According to the comparisons of die-off rates between different simulations and observations (Maraccini et al., 2016 and Nguyen et al., 2015) (**Figure 5**), the prediction from our M0 module was better than existing approaches (M1 and M2) based on the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and R-Squared ( $R^2$ ) (details in S2). The M1 module (**Figure 5b, e**), which calculated the die-off rate without photo-inactivation damage, highly underestimated the observed die-off rates. The results from the M1 module (without radiation) only varied in a narrow range, between 0 and 2  $\text{day}^{-1}$ . On the contrary, using visible light intensity to quantify photo-inactivation damage (M2, with visible light radiation) significantly overestimated the die-off rates (**Figure 5c, f, h**).




Figure 5, Comparison of the die-off modules. Symbols, circles in (a), (d), (g), triangles in (b) and (c) and squares in (c), (f) and (h) represent the results from M0, M1 and M2, respectively.

Observations are from (Maraccini et al., 2016 and Nguyen et al., 2015). Error bars show  $\pm$  one standard error of duplicates.

As shown in **Figure 5g-h**, the fluctuation of total radiation at water surfaces varied between 200 and 300 W m<sup>-2</sup>, which is a considerably narrow range compared to the seasonal variation in other regions (Iqbal, 2012). Besides, there was no distinct relation between total radiation at surface water and observed/modelled die-off rates. For DOC, **Figure 5I** shows that the highest DOC concentrations (dark purple) are associated with the lowest die-off rates. These indicate that in a region with less seasonal fluctuation of solar radiation but high fluctuation of DOC, *E. coli* photo-inactivation is highly correlated with light absorption of CDOM.

Water temperature and salinity cannot be used to predict the die-off rate solely (M1), especially in low-temperature periods (**Figure 5b**). However, when high DOC concentration ( $> 6$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>) restricts the penetration of light, modelled die-off rates from M0 are in a similar range (0 – 2 day<sup>-1</sup>) to the predictions from M1. This suggests that in waters rich in CDOM, the impact of photo-inactivation is less distinguishable. This is probably why the M1 module has been widely used for modelling *E. coli* die-off rate in small catchments and wetlands (De Brauwere et al., 2014a; Niazi et al., 2015; Sowah et al., 2020; Worku Meshesha et al., 2020) where the CDOM concentration is usually high (Seitzinger et al., 2005).

On the contrary, solar radiation is essential for calculating *E. coli* die-off rate in “clearer” coastal waters (Chan et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017; Thupaki et al., 2013), but calculating the photo-induced damage as a linear function of total radiation and neglecting the extinction by CDOM (as in the M2 model, **Figure 5h**) overestimated the *E. coli* die-off rate. CDOM concentrations in coastal waters have seasonal patterns due to riverine input and in situ primary production (Zweifel et al., 1995), which can induce a significant impact on *E. coli* die-off variation.

### 3.3 Validation of sediment interaction module

Few studies measured suspended sediment attached *E. coli* due to sampling uncertainty (Devane et al., 2020). Therefore, we used the *E. coli* distribution between waters and sediments from previous studies to validate the sediment interaction module (section 2.4) (Devane et al., 2020; Fluke et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2010; Labite et al., 2010; Pachepsky and Shelton, 2011). Observed ratios of *E. coli* concentrations in waters versus in deposited sediments ranged from 2:1 (10<sup>th</sup> percentile) to 1263:1 (90<sup>th</sup> percentile) (**Figure 6a**). S5 (dynamic sediment partitioning coefficient, K<sub>SS\_D</sub>) simulations yielded ratios of 11:1–281:1, within the observed 10<sup>th</sup> - 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles (**Figure 6b**). The average ratio from S5\_Katwijk was 66:1, which was higher than that from the S5\_Scheldt (14:1), resulting from a higher clay proportion in the Katwijk model (**Figure S4**). In contrast, the ratio in S4\_Katwijk (3265:1) was lower than in S5\_Scheldt (4797:1) (**Figure 6c**). Besides, the K<sub>SS\_C</sub> allocated more *E. coli* into the deposited sediment, leading to a ratio up to 14791:1, well above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of observations.






Figure 6, *E. coli* concentrations in waters vs sediments collected from previous studies (a) and from the box models S5 with  $K_{SS\_D}$  (b) and S4 with  $K_{SS\_C}$  (c). The Error bars mean fluctuation within a year. The red lines represent the 10<sup>th</sup> (2:1) and 90<sup>th</sup> (1263:1) percentiles of the observed ratios. The black lines represent the maximum and minimum ratios from the simulation results.

The comparison in **Figure 6b** indicates that using clay and non-clay components is a reasonable approach for modeling *E. coli* attachment-detachment. The  $K_{SS\_C}$  value used in S4 ( $0.01 \text{ m}^3$ ), commonly applied in previous models (Bai and Lung, 2005; Gao et al., 2011; Thupaki et al., 2013), appears too high for *E. coli* attachment (**Figure 6c**). Direct  $K_{SS}$  measurements are rare, only limited groundwater studies reported the  $K_{SS}$  values between  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (Gantzer et al., 2001; Lindqvist and Enfield, 1992; Reddy and Ford, 1996). This range was consistent with the  $K_{SS\_D}$  simulation by the box models (**Figure S4**), but two to four orders of magnitude lower than the  $K_{SS\_C}$ . In addition, using  $K_{SS\_C}$  also ignored the impact of TSS composition on *E. coli* attachment.

### 3.4 Sensitivity analysis

In our long-term scenarios (S1-S5, Table 1), scenario S2 (without CDOM extinction) predicted lower *E. coli* concentrations than the other scenarios (**Figure 7**). As discussed in section 3.2, ignoring the extinction by CDOM can overestimate the UV-induced damage, whereas including CDOM extinction (S3) reduces radiation effects. The Scheldt\_S3 concentrations were similar to the Scheldt\_S1 (T-test,  $P = 4.39$ , details in S2) (**Figure 7b**) due to high DOC concentrations and aligned seasonal patterns of DOC concentration and radiation (**Figure S3**). These indicate that the UV penetration is too low to inactivate *E. coli*. This explains why temperature alone can “adequately” predict *E. coli* in catchments with high DOC concentrations (De Brauwere et al., 2014a; Niazi et al., 2015; Sowah et al., 2020; Worku Meshesha et al., 2020). However, Katwijk\_S1 showed 44% higher average unattached *E. coli* concentration than Katwijk\_S3 (**Figure 7a**), highlighting the importance of UV inactivation in clear waters with low DOC concentrations (Chan et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017; Thupaki et al., 2013).




Figure 7, Long-term sensitivity analysis: unattached *E. coli* concentration simulations from Katwijk\_S1-Katwijk\_S5 (a) and from Scheldt\_S1-Scheldt\_2 (b). The scenarios are in **Table 1**.

The concentrations in S5 were very similar to S3 (T-test,  $P = 4.01$  for Katwijk; 2.83 for Scheldt). Since the long-term scenarios represent a steady baseflow/low-flow period (Table 2), *E. coli* concentrations in waters and in sediments remain in equilibrium. As a result, sediment interaction has no visible effect in the long-term sensitivity analysis.

In the short-term sensitivity analysis (E1-E4, Table 1), E4 (including interactions with sediment) had a bigger tail than the other scenarios (**Figure 8**). This extended tail appeared in both summer and winter and started earlier in the Scheldt\_E4. Due to high turbidity, the event duration in Scheldt\_S4 was prolonged by 29-44 hours compared to E3 in both seasons. In Katwijk, the difference among E1, E2, and E3 was significant, but the difference between E3 and E4 above the threshold was insignificant (Figure 8a-b).

As mentioned in 2.3, the short-term sensitivity analysis simulated peak *E. coli* pollution events. Since no sediment interaction was involved in E1-3, deposited sediment could not accumulate and release *E. coli* during and after the peak event. In E4, higher TSS in the Scheldt created a larger *E. coli* reservoir in deposited sediment than in Katwijk\_E4 during peak events, leading to greater post-event release. The longer exceedance in Scheldt\_E4 shows that sediment interaction can extend the *E. coli* pollution above the threshold by roughly 30 hours after a peak. To prevent the post-event pollution and warn bathers of a potential extended risk, sediment interaction could be included in *E. coli* forecasts for highly turbid waters, especially during peak events.




Figure 8, Short-term sensitivity analysis. The Katwijk box model results for winter (a) and summer (b); The Scheldt model results for winter (c) and summer (d). The red dashed line is 250 CFU 100ml<sup>-1</sup>. E1-4 represents the scenarios in **Table 1**.

### 3.5 Model limitations and applications

Model validation and comparison with the existing models indicated that the new model can give a more reliable prediction of photo-inactivation and distribution of *E. coli* between waters and sediments. Incorporating UV spectrum, DOC and suspended sediment concentrations for photo-inactivation calculation improved the dynamics of prediction. The introduction of the dynamic partitioning coefficient added the effect of suspended sediment composition on the attachment process. However, the new model requires additional inputs (Table 2, Table S2) and increases model complexity. Besides, variability of CDOM composition may affect UV extinction, but is not yet included in the box models. The correlation between  $K_{ss}$  and  $P_{clay}$  was based on a regression model from a previous study and requires more observational data in future studies. Currently, the new model has been validated only in box models, instead of in whole hydrology/hydrodynamics model domains. Future applications could integrate it with 1D/2D/3D hydrology and hydrodynamics models at various temporal-spatial scales. Parameters listed in Table S1 could be re-parameterized for other fecal bacteria or viruses with similar processes to *E. coli*. Future work is planned on applying our new *E. coli* model to a coupling model (inland 1D hydrology model + nearshore 3D hydrodynamic model) to forecast *E. coli* and support bathing water management across the source-to-sea system.

#### 4. Conclusions

A new FIB physico-biochemical model has been developed to predict *E. coli* concentrations in natural waters and support bathing water management. It consists of a die-off module and a sediment interaction module, including the independent natural die-off, photo-inactivation, UV extinction, attachment-detachment, sedimentation, resuspension, and hyporheic exchange. The main conclusions from model validation, comparison and sensitivity analysis are as follows:

- (1) The new die-off module has a lower RMSE value ( $1.1 \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) and a higher  $R^2$  value (0.88) than the existing models ( $1.8\text{--}7.2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ , and  $0.48\text{--}0.79$ ), indicating an improvement in agreement with observations. However, the validation dataset remains limited. It is recommended that future studies provide comprehensive FIB die-off data alongside relevant environmental factors.
- (2) The model comparisons showed that photo-inactivation is crucial for estimating *E. coli* die-off rate, and CDOM extinction coefficient plays a key role in determining UVA + UVB extinction while DOC concentration is below  $\sim 6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ .
- (3) Sediment interaction module using dynamic partitioning coefficient produced the water-sediment *E. coli* distribution ratio within the observed 10<sup>th</sup>-90<sup>th</sup> percentile range, while the module using constant partitioning coefficient overestimated the ratio.
- (4) Sensitivity analysis suggested that sediment release after a peak event can extend the pollution to roughly 30 hours in highly turbid waters. Therefore, sediment interaction should be considered in *E. coli* modelling and forecasting.

Given the high flexibility of the model, it can be coupled with hydrology and hydrodynamic models and applied to FIB modelling in various bathing waters. Based on the sensitivity analysis, we also recommend different process options for different aquatic systems. This new model offers a reliable tool for predicting pathogen risk in near-future forecasting and climate change projections to support bathing safety management.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Marieke Eleveld for support with the UV extinction setup. This research has been supported through the BlueAdapt Project which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101057764 and by the UKRI/HM Government.

Journal Pre-proof

## 5. References

- Annually, R.I. (1995) ASTM STANDARDS. <https://doi.org/10.1520/uvcmp20170001>
- Bai, S. and Lung, W.-S. (2005) Modeling sediment impact on the transport of fecal bacteria. *Water research* 39, 5232-5240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.10.013>
- Bi, S., Hieronymi, M. and Röttgers, R. (2023) Bio-geo-optical modelling of natural waters. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 10, 1196352. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1196352>
- Bogard, M.J., Kuhn, C.D., Johnston, S.E., Striegl, R.G., Holtgrieve, G.W., Dornblaser, M.M., Spencer, R.G., Wickland, K.P. and Butman, D.E. (2019) Negligible cycling of terrestrial carbon in many lakes of the arid circumpolar landscape. *Nature Geoscience* 12, 180-185. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0299-5>
- Bricaud, A., Morel, A. and Prieur, L. (1981) Absorption by dissolved organic matter of the sea (yellow substance) in the UV and visible domains. *Limnol. Oceanogr* 26, 43-53. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1981.26.1.0043>
- Chan, Y., Thoe, W. and Lee, J.H. (2015) Field and laboratory studies of *Escherichia coli* decay rate in subtropical coastal water. *Journal of Hydro-Environment Research* 9, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jher.2014.08.002>
- Cho, K.H., Pachepsky, Y.A., Oliver, D.M., Muirhead, R.W., Park, Y., Quilliam, R.S. and Shelton, D.R. (2016) Modeling fate and transport of fecally-derived microorganisms at the watershed scale: State of the science and future opportunities. *Water Research* 100, 38-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.04.064>
- De Brauwere, A., Gourgue, O., De Brye, B., Servais, P., Ouattara, N.K. and Deleersnijder, E. (2014a) Integrated modelling of faecal contamination in a densely populated river-sea continuum (Scheldt River and Estuary). *Science of the Total Environment* 468, 31-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.019>
- de Brauwere, A., Ouattara, N.K. and Servais, P. (2014b) Modeling fecal indicator bacteria concentrations in natural surface waters: a review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* 44, 2380-2453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2013.829978>
- Deltares (2020) D-Water Quality User manual. Deltares. <https://oss.deltares.nl/web/delft3d/manuals>
- Devane, M.L., Moriarty, E., Weaver, L., Cookson, A. and Gilpin, B. (2020) Fecal indicator bacteria from environmental sources; strategies for identification to improve water quality monitoring. *Water Research* 185, 116204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116204>
- Enns, A.A., Vogel, L.J., Abdelzaher, A.M., Solo-Gabriele, H.M., Plano, L.R., Gidley, M.L., Phillips, M.C., Klaus, J.S., Piggot, A.M. and Feng, Z. (2012) Spatial and temporal variation in indicator microbe sampling is influential in beach management decisions. *Water research* 46, 2237-2246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.01.040>
- Erichsen, A.C., Kaas, H., Dannisøe, J., Mark, O. and Jørgensen, C. (2006) Etablering af badevandsprofiler og varslingsystemer i henhold til EU's nye badevandsdirektiv. Miljøstyrelsen og DHI. Miljøprojekt.
- Fichot, C.G. and Benner, R. (2011) A novel method to estimate DOC concentrations from CDOM absorption coefficients in coastal waters. *Geophysical research letters* 38. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl046152>
- Fluke, J., González-Pinzón, R. and Thomson, B. (2019) Riverbed sediments control the spatiotemporal variability of *E. coli* in a highly managed, arid river. *Frontiers in Water* 1, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2019.00004>
- Gantzer, C., Gaspard, P., Galvez, L., Huyard, A., Dumouthier, N. and Schwartzbrod, J. (2001) Monitoring of bacterial and parasitological contamination during various treatment of sludge. *Water research* 35, 3763-3770. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0043-1354\(01\)00105-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0043-1354(01)00105-1)
- Gao, G., Falconer, R.A. and Lin, B. (2011) Numerical modelling of sediment-bacteria interaction processes in surface waters. *Water Research* 45, 1951-1960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.12.030>
- Gao, G., Falconer, R.A. and Lin, B. (2015) Modelling the fate and transport of faecal bacteria in estuarine and coastal waters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 100, 162-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.09.011>
- Garcia-Armisen, T. and Servais, P. (2009) Partitioning and Fate of Particle-Associated *E. coli* in River Waters. *Water Environment Research* 81, 21-28. <https://doi.org/10.2175/106143008x304613>
- Garzio-Hadzick, A., Shelton, D., Hill, R., Pachepsky, Y., Guber, A. and Rowland, R. (2010) Survival of manure-borne *E. coli* in streambed sediment: effects of temperature and sediment properties. *Water research* 44, 2753-2762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.011>
- Gonsior, M., Zwartjes, M., Cooper, W.J., Song, W., Ishida, K.P., Tseng, L.Y., Jeung, M.K., Rosso, D., Hertkorn, N. and Schmitt-Kopplin, P. (2011) Molecular characterization of effluent organic matter identified by ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. *Water research* 45, 2943-2953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.03.016>
- Graczyk, T.K., Sunderland, D., Awantang, G.N., Mashinski, Y., Lucy, F.E., Graczyk, Z., Chomicz, L. and Breyse, P.N. (2010) Relationships among bather density, levels of human waterborne pathogens, and fecal coliform counts in marine recreational beach water. *Parasitology Research* 106, 1103-1108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-1769-2>


- Hipsey, M.R., Antenucci, J.P. and Brookes, J.D. (2008) A generic, process-based model of microbial pollution in aquatic systems. *Water Resources Research* 44(7). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007wr006395>
- Huang, G., Falconer, R.A. and Lin, B. (2015) Integrated river and coastal flow, sediment and *Escherichia coli* modelling for bathing water quality. *Water* 7, 4752-4777. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w7094752>
- Huang, G., Falconer, R.A. and Lin, B. (2017) Integrated hydro-bacterial modelling for predicting bathing water quality. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 188, 145-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.01.018>
- Huovinen, P., Penttilä, H. and Soimasuo, M. (2003) Spectral attenuation of solar ultraviolet radiation in humic lakes in Central Finland. *Chemosphere* 51, 205-214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-6535\(02\)00634-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-6535(02)00634-3)
- Iqbal, M. (2012) An introduction to solar radiation. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-373750-2.50017-3>
- Jerlov, N.G. (2014) Optical oceanography. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0422-9894\(08\)x7043-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0422-9894(08)x7043-6)
- Jozić, S., Cenov, A., Glad, M., Peroš-Pucar, D., Kurić, K., Puljak, T., Ordulj, M., Tomaš, A.V., Baumgartner, N. and Ivanković, D. (2024) The effect of sampling frequency and spatial and temporal variation in the density of fecal indicator bacteria on the assessment of coastal bathing water quality. *Water research* 264, 122192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2024.122192>
- Kalev, S., Duan, S. and Toor, G.S. (2021) Enriched dissolved organic carbon export from a residential stormwater pond. *Science of the Total Environment* 751, 141773. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141773>
- Kim, J.-W., Pachepsky, Y.A., Shelton, D.R. and Coppock, C. (2010) Effect of streambed bacteria release on *E. coli* concentrations: Monitoring and modeling with the modified SWAT. *Ecological Modelling* 221, 1592-1604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.03.005>
- Kim, M., Boithias, L., Cho, K.H., Silvera, N., Thammahacksa, C., Latsachack, K., Rochelle-Newall, E., Sengtaheuanghoung, O., Pierret, A., Pachepsky, Y.A. and Ribolzi, O. (2017) Hydrological modeling of Fecal Indicator Bacteria in a tropical mountain catchment. *Water Research* 119, 102-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.038>
- King, J., Ahmadian, R. and Falconer, R.A. (2021) Hydro-epidemiological modelling of bacterial transport and decay in nearshore coastal waters. *Water Research* 196, 117049. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117049>
- Kondo, T., Sakai, N., Yazawa, T. and Shimizu, Y. (2021) Verifying the applicability of SWAT to simulate fecal contamination for watershed management of Selangor River, Malaysia. *Science of the Total Environment* 774, 145075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145075>
- Kuhn, P., Browman, H., McArthur, B. and St-Pierre, J.F. (1999) Penetration of ultraviolet radiation in the waters of the estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44, 710-716. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.3.0710>
- Labite, H., Lunani, I., van der Steen, P., Vairavamorthy, K., Drechsel, P. and Lens, P. (2010) Quantitative Microbial Risk Analysis to evaluate health effects of interventions in the urban water system of Accra, Ghana. *Journal of water and health* 8, 417-430. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2010.021>
- Laurion, I., Ventura, M., Catalan, J., Psenner, R. and Sommaruga, R. (2000) Attenuation of ultraviolet radiation in mountain lakes: factors controlling the among- and within-lake variability. *Limnology and Oceanography* 45, 1274-1288. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1274>
- Li, J., Yu, Q., Tian, Y.Q. and Boutt, D.F. (2018) Effects of landcover, soil property, and temperature on covariations of DOC and CDOM in inland waters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 123, 1352-1365. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jg004179>
- Lindqvist, R. and Enfield, C.G. (1992) Biosorption of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane and hexachlorobenzene in groundwater and its implications for facilitated transport. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 58, 2211-2218. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.58.7.2211-2218.1992>
- Maraccini, P.A., Wenk, J. and Boehm, A.B. (2016) Photoinactivation of eight health-relevant bacterial species: determining the importance of the exogenous indirect mechanism. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50, 5050-5059. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00074.s001>
- Morel, A., Gentili, B., Claustre, H., Babin, M., Bricaud, A., Ras, J. and Tieche, F. (2007) Optical properties of the "clearest" natural waters. *Limnology and oceanography* 52, 217-229. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-1041-2007>
- Morris, D.P., Zagarese, H., Williamson, C.E., Balseiro, E.G., Hargreaves, B.R., Modenutti, B., Moeller, R. and Queimalinos, C. (1995) The attenuation of solar UV radiation in lakes and the role of dissolved organic carbon. *Limnology and Oceanography* 40, 1381-1391. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.8.1381>
- Nelson, K.L., Boehm, A.B., Davies-Colley, R.J., Dodd, M.C., Kohn, T., Linden, K.G., Liu, Y., Maraccini, P.A., McNeill, K. and Mitch, W.A. (2018) Sunlight-mediated inactivation of health-relevant microorganisms in

- water: a review of mechanisms and modeling approaches. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* 20, 1089-1122. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8em00047f>
- Nguyen, M.T., Jasper, J.T., Boehm, A.B. and Nelson, K.L. (2015) Sunlight inactivation of fecal indicator bacteria in open-water unit process treatment wetlands: modeling endogenous and exogenous inactivation rates. *Water research* 83, 282-292. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8em00047f>
- Niazi, M., Obropta, C. and Miskewitz, R. (2015) Pathogen transport and fate modeling in the Upper Salem River Watershed using SWAT model. *Journal of Environmental Management* 151, 167-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.12.042>
- Ouattara, N.K., de Brauwere, A., Billen, G. and Servais, P. (2013) Modelling faecal contamination in the Scheldt drainage network. *Journal of marine systems* 128, 77-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.05.004>
- Pachepsky, Y. and Shelton, D. (2011) *Escherichia coli* and fecal coliforms in freshwater and estuarine sediments. *Critical reviews in environmental science and technology* 41, 1067-1110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380903392718>
- Reddy, H.L. and Ford, R.M. (1996) Analysis of biodegradation and bacterial transport: Comparison of models with kinetic and equilibrium bacterial adsorption. *Journal of contaminant hydrology* 22, 271-287. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7722\(95\)00095-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7722(95)00095-x)
- Scully, N. and Lean, D. (1994) The attenuation of ultraviolet radiation in temperate lakes. *Ergebnisse der Limnologie* 43, 135-135.
- Seitzinger, S.P., Harrison, J.A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A.H. and Bouwman, A. (2005) Sources and delivery of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus to the coastal zone: An overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watersheds (NEWS) models and their application. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 19. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gb002606>
- Shi, X., Jovanovic, D., Meng, Z., Hipsey, M.R. and McCarthy, D. (2024) Modelling faecal microbe dynamics within stormwater constructed wetlands. *Water Research* 248, 120855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2023.120855>
- Shifrin, K. (1998) *Physical optics of ocean water*. American Institute of Physics Melville, NY. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797\(88\)90136-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(88)90136-1)
- Silverman, A.I. and Guest, J.S. (2022) Cleaner water with light. *Nature Sustainability* 5, 737-738. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00926-4>
- Silverman, A.I. and Nelson, K.L. (2016) Modeling the endogenous sunlight inactivation rates of laboratory strain and wastewater *E. coli* and enterococci using biological weighting functions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50, 12292-12301. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03721>
- Smith, R.C. and Baker, K.S. (1981) Optical properties of the clearest natural waters (200–800 nm). *Applied optics* 20, 177-184. <https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.20.000177>
- Sowah, R.A., Bradshaw, K., Snyder, B., Spidle, D. and Molina, M. (2020) Evaluation of the soil and water assessment tool (SWAT) for simulating *E. coli* concentrations at the watershed-scale. *Science of The Total Environment* 746, 140669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140669>
- Sterk, A., Schijven, J., de Nijs, T. and de Roda Husman, A.M. (2013) Direct and indirect effects of climate change on the risk of infection by water-transmitted pathogens. *Environmental science & technology* 47, 12648-12660. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es403549s>
- Stolte, W. and Schueder, R. (2019) Update of the water quality model application of the Schelde for the year 2014 - Calibration and validation. *Deltares, Deltares: Delft, The Netherlands*, p. 64. <https://vnsc.eu/publicaties/archief/update-of-the-water-quality-model-application-of-the-schelde-for-the-year-2014/>
- Stramski, D., Babin, M. and Woźniak, S.B. (2007) Variations in the optical properties of terrigenous mineral-rich particulate matter suspended in seawater. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52, 2418-2433. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.6.2418>
- Thorndahl, S., Nielsen, J.M. and Rasmussen, M.R. (2024) Model-based prediction of bathing water quality in a lake polluted by fecal coliform bacteria from combined sewer overflows. *Journal of Environmental Management* 349, 119483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119483>
- Thupaki, P., Phanikumar, M.S., Schwab, D.J., Nevers, M.B. and Whitman, R.L. (2013) Evaluating the role of sediment-bacteria interactions on *Escherichia coli* concentrations at beaches in southern Lake Michigan. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118, 7049-7065. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jc008919>
- Tong, X., Goh, S.G., Mohapatra, S., Tran, N.H., You, L., Zhang, J., He, Y. and Gin, K.Y.-H. (2024) Predicting antibiotic resistance and assessing the risk burden from antibiotics: a holistic modeling framework in a



- tropical reservoir. *Environmental science & technology* 58, 6781-6792. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c10467>
- Twardowski, M.S., Boss, E., Sullivan, J.M. and Donaghay, P.L. (2004) Modeling the spectral shape of absorption by chromophoric dissolved organic matter. *Marine Chemistry* 89, 69-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.02.008>
- van Loosdrecht, M.C., Lyklema, J., Norde, W. and Zehnder, A.J. (1989) Bacterial adhesion: a physicochemical approach. *Microbial ecology* 17, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02025589>
- Wang, J. and Seyed-Yagoobi, J. (1994) Effects of water turbidity and salt concentration levels on penetration of solar radiation under water. *Solar Energy* 52, 429-438. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092x\(94\)90120-q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092x(94)90120-q)
- Wilkinson, G.M., Pace, M.L. and Cole, J.J. (2013) Terrestrial dominance of organic matter in north temperate lakes. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 27, 43-51. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gb004453>
- Worku Meshesha, T., Wang, J. and Demelash Melaku, N. (2020) A modified hydrological model for assessing effect of pH on fate and transport of *Escherichia coli* in the Athabasca River basin. *Journal of Hydrology* 582, 124513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124513>
- Zhang, Y., Shi, K., Zhou, Q., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Y., Qin, B. and Deng, J. (2020) Decreasing underwater ultraviolet radiation exposure strongly driven by increasing ultraviolet attenuation in lakes in eastern and southwest China. *Science of the Total Environment* 720, 137694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137694>
- Zhang, Z., Li, B., Li, N., Sardar, M.F., Song, T., Zhu, C., Lv, X. and Li, H. (2019) Effects of UV disinfection on phenotypes and genotypes of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in secondary effluent from a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Water research* 157, 546-554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.079>
- Zijl, F., Verlaan, M. and Gerritsen, H. (2013) Improved water-level forecasting for the Northwest European Shelf and North Sea through direct modelling of tide, surge and non-linear interaction. *Ocean Dynamics* 63, 823-847. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-013-0624-2>
- Zweifel, U.L., Wikner, J., Hagström, Å., Lundberg, E. and Norrman, B. (1995) Dynamics of dissolved organic carbon in a coastal ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* 40, 299-305. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.2.0299>

## Graphical abstract



## Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: